

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Financial Report

Year Ended June 30, 2013

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

Release Date **MAR 05 2014**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REVIEW REPORT	1-2
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Government-wide Financial Statements	
Statement of net position	5
Statement of activities	6
Fund Financial Statements	
Governmental fund:	
Balance sheet	9-10
Statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance	11-12
Statement of agency assets and liabilities	13
Notes to financial statements	14-21
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Budgetary comparison schedule:	
General Fund	23
Notes to budgetary comparison schedule	24-25
REQUIREMENTS OF THE <i>LOUISIANA GOVERNMENTAL AUDIT GUIDE</i>	
Independent accountants' report on applying agreed-upon procedures	27-29
Summary schedule of prior findings	30
Schedule of findings	31-32
Corrective action plan for current year findings	33
Louisiana attestation questionnaire	Exhibit A

KOLDER, CHAMPAGNE, SLAVEN & COMPANY, LLC

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

C. Burton Kolder, CPA*
Russell F. Champagne, CPA*
Victor R. Slaven, CPA*
Gerald A. Thibodeaux, Jr., CPA*
Robert S. Carter, CPA*
Arthur R. Mixon, CPA*
Penny Angelle Scruggins, CPA
Christine C. Doucet, CPA

Wanda F. Arcement, CPA, CVA
Allen J. LaBry, CPA
Albert R. Leger, CPA, PFS, CSA*
Marshall W. Guidry, CPA
Stephen R. Moore, Jr., CPA, PFS, CFP®, ChFC®
James R. Roy, CPA
Robert J. Metz, CPA
Alan M. Taylor, CPA
Kelly M. Doucet, CPA
Cheryl L. Bartley, CPA
Mandy B. Self, CPA
Paul L. Delcambre, Jr., CPA
Kristin B. Dauzat, CPA
Matthew E. Margaglio, CPA
Jane R. Hebert, CPA
Bryan K. Joubert, CPA
Stephen J. Anderson, CPA
W. Jeffrey Lowry, CPA
Brad E. Kolder, CPA, JD
Casey L. Ardoin, CPA

Retired:

Conrad O. Chapman, CPA* 2006

OFFICES

183 South Beadle Rd. Lafayette, LA 70508 Phone (337) 232-4141 Fax (337) 232-8660	450 East Main Street New Iberia, LA 70560 Phone (337) 367-9204 Fax (337) 367-9208
---	--

113 East Bridge St. Breaux Bridge, LA 70517 Phone (337) 332-4020 Fax (337) 332-2867	200 South Main Street Abbeville, LA 70510 Phone (337) 893-7944 Fax (337) 893-7946
--	--

1234 David Dr. Ste 203 Morgan City, LA 70380 Phone (985) 384-2020 Fax (985) 384-3020	1013 Main Street Franklin, LA 70538 Phone (337) 828-0272 Fax (337) 828-0290
---	--

408 West Cotton Street Ville Platte, LA 70586 Phone (337) 363-2782 Fax (337) 363-3049	133 East Waddill St. Marksville, LA 71351 Phone (318) 253-9252 Fax (318) 253-8681
--	--

332 West Sixth Avenue Oberlin, LA 70655 Phone (337) 639-4737 Fax (337) 639-4568	1428 Metro Drive Alexandria, LA 71301 Phone (318) 442-4421 Fax (318) 442-8833
--	--

WEB SITE

WWW.KCSRPCAS.COM

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REVIEW REPORT

The Honorable Terry G. Breaux, Judge
City Court of the City of Franklin
Franklin, Louisiana

We have reviewed the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of City Court of the City of Franklin (hereinafter "City Court"), a component unit of the City of Franklin, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, which collectively comprise City Court's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. A review includes primarily applying analytical procedures to management's financial data and making inquiries of the management of City Court. A review is substantially less in scope than an audit, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The management of City Court is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and for designing, implementing, and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements.

Our responsibility is to conduct the review in accordance with Statements on Standards for Accounting and Review Services issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Those standards require us to perform procedures to obtain limited assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements. We believe that the results of our procedures provide a reasonable basis for our report.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying financial statements in order for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that budgetary comparison schedules be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a required part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. The budgetary comparison schedule on pages 23 through 25 was not audited, reviewed, or compiled by us and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on such schedules.

Management has omitted the management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. The results of our review of the basic financial statements are not affected by this missing information.

Kolder, Champagne, Slaven & Company, LLC
Certified Public Accountants

Morgan City, Louisiana
January 13, 2014

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Statement of Net Position
June 30, 2013

	<u>Governmental Activities</u>
 ASSETS	
Current assets:	
Cash and interest-bearing deposits	\$ 37,338
Accounts receivable, net	10,051
Due from other governmental units	<u>11,390</u>
Total current assets	58,779
 Noncurrent assets:	
Capital assets, net	<u>7,888</u>
 Total assets	<u>66,667</u>
 LIABILITIES	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	3,093
Due to other governmental units	<u>2,455</u>
Total liabilities	<u>5,548</u>
 NET POSITION	
Invested in capital assets	7,888
Unrestricted	<u>53,231</u>
Total net position	<u>\$ 61,119</u>

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' review report.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Statement of Activities
Year Ended June 30, 2013

Activities	Expenses	Program Revenues		Net (Expense)/Revenue and Changes in Net Position
		Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	
Governmental activities:				
General government	<u>\$ 166,082</u>	<u>\$ 61,652</u>	<u>\$ 88,355</u>	<u>\$ (16,075)</u>
General revenues:				
Interest and investment earnings				55
Miscellaneous				<u>287</u>
Total general revenues				<u>342</u>
Change in net position				(15,733)
Net position - July 1, 2012				<u>76,852</u>
Net position - June 30, 2013				<u>\$ 61,119</u>

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' review report.

FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MAJOR FUND DESCRIPTION

General Fund

The General Fund is used to account for resources traditionally associated with governments which are not required to be accounted for in another fund.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Balance Sheet
Governmental Fund
June 30, 2013

	<u>General Fund</u>
ASSETS	
Cash and interest bearing deposits	\$ 37,338
Accounts receivable, net	10,051
Due from other governmental units	<u>11,390</u>
 Total assets	 <u>\$ 58,779</u>
 LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	
Liabilities:	
Accrued liabilities	\$ 3,093
Due to other governmental units	<u>2,455</u>
Total liabilities	5,548
 Fund balance:	
Unassigned	 <u>53,231</u>
 Total liabilities and fund balance	 <u>\$ 58,779</u>

(continued)

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Balance Sheet (continued)
Governmental Fund
June 30, 2013

Reconciliation of the Governmental Fund Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position

Total fund balance for the governmental fund at June 30, 2013		\$ 53,231
Cost of capital assets at June 30, 2013	\$ 55,996	
Less: Accumulated depreciation	<u>(48,108)</u>	<u>7,888</u>
Total net position of governmental activities at June 30, 2013		<u>\$ 61,119</u>

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' review report.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
Governmental Fund
Year Ended June 30, 2013

	<u>General Fund</u>
Revenues:	
Fees, charges and commissions -	
Court costs, fees, and fines	\$ 61,652
Intergovernmental	88,355
Interest income	55
Miscellaneous	<u>287</u>
Total revenues	<u>150,349</u>
Expenditures:	
Current-	
General government-	
Operating services	148,230
Professional services	2,900
Materials and supplies	8,472
Travel and other charges	4,164
Capital outlay	<u>3,297</u>
Total expenditures	<u>167,063</u>
Net change in fund balance	(16,714)
Fund balance, beginning	<u>69,945</u>
Fund balance, ending	<u>\$ 53,231</u>

(continued)

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance (continued)
Governmental Fund
Year Ended June 30, 2013

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
of Governmental Fund to the Statement of Activities

Total net change in fund balance for the year ended June 30, 2013 per Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	\$ (16,714)
--	-------------

Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However,
in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over
their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense.

Capital outlay which is considered expenditures on Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	\$ 3,297
Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2013	<u>(2,316)</u>
Current year additions of capital assets	<u>981</u>

Total change in net position for the year ended June 30, 2013 per Statement of Activities	<u>\$ (15,733)</u>
--	--------------------

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' review report.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Statement of Agency Assets and Liabilities
June 30, 2013

	<u>Agency Funds</u>
ASSETS	
Cash and interest-bearing deposits	\$ 107,543
Due from litigants	<u>30,801</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 138,344</u>
LIABILITIES	
Due to litigants	\$ 102,740
Due to other governmental units	<u>35,604</u>
Total liabilities	<u>\$ 138,344</u>

See accompanying notes and independent accountants' review report.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Financial Statements

INTRODUCTION

City Courts are created under the authority of LSA-RS 13:1871 et seq. The City Court of the City of Franklin (hereinafter "City Court") accounts for the operation of the court's office.

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of City Court conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to governments. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the accepted standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with GASB Statement No. 34, *Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis – for State and Local Governments*.

A. Financial Reporting Entity

The city court judge is an independently elected official. However, City Court is fiscally dependent on the City of Franklin for office space, courtrooms, and related utility costs, as well as partial funding of salary costs. Because City Court is fiscally dependent on the City, City Court was determined to be a component unit of the City of Franklin, the financial reporting entity. These financial statements report only the activities of City Court, the component unit.

B. Basis of Presentation

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The statement of net position and the statement of activities display information about City Court as a whole. These statements include all the financial activities of City Court. Information contained in these statements reflects the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets, and liabilities resulting from exchange or exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange occurs (regardless of when cash is received or disbursed). Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets, and liabilities resulting from nonexchange transactions are recognized in accordance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 33, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Nonexchange Transactions*.

The statement of activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for City Court's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include (a) court costs, fees, and fines paid by the recipients of services offered by City Court, and (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirement of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues are presented as general revenues.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

Fund Financial Statements

City Court uses funds to maintain City Court functions and activities. A fund is defined as a separate fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. The various funds of City Court are classified into two categories: governmental and fiduciary. Since the resources in the fiduciary funds cannot be used for City Court operations, they are not included in the government-wide financial statements. The emphasis on fund financial statements is on major funds, each displayed in a separate column. A fund is considered major if it is the primary operating fund of the entity or its total assets, liabilities, revenues, or expenditures of the individual governmental fund is at least 10 percent of the corresponding total for all governmental funds. The General Fund of City Court is considered to be a major fund. The funds of City Court are described below:

Governmental Funds -

General Fund – This fund is the primary operating fund of City Court and accounts for the operations of City Court’s office. The General Fund is available for any purpose provided it is expended or transferred in accordance with state and federal laws and according to City Court’s policy.

Fiduciary Funds -

Fiduciary funds reporting focuses on net assets and changes in net assets. The only funds accounted for in this category by City Court are agency funds. The agency funds account for assets held by City Court as an agent for litigants in civil suits, cash bonds for criminal proceedings, and fees held pending court action. These funds are custodial in nature (assets equal liabilities) and do not involve measurement of results of operations. Consequently, the agency funds have no measurement focus, but use the modified accrual basis of accounting. The agency funds are as follows:

Fines and Costs Fund – accounts for the receipt and disbursement of bonds, fines, and costs from criminal proceedings to the appropriate governmental entity.

Advance Deposit Fund – accounts for advance deposits on suits filed by litigants.

C. Measurement Focus/Basis of Accounting

Measurement focus is a term used to describe “which” transactions are recorded with the various financial statements. Basis of accounting refers to “when” transactions are recorded regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Measurement Focus

On the government-wide statement of net position and the statement of activities, governmental activities are presented using the economic resources measurement focus as defined in item b. below. In the fund financial statements, the “current financial resources” measurement focus or the “economic resources” measurement focus is used as appropriate:

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

- a. The governmental fund utilizes a "current financial resources" measurement focus. Only current financial assets and liabilities are generally included on its balance sheet. Their operating statement presents sources and uses of available expendable financial resources during a given period. This fund uses fund balance as its measure of available expendable financial resources at the end of a period.
- b. The government-wide financial statement utilizes an "economic resources" measurement focus. The accounting objectives of this measurement focus are the determination of operating income, changes in net assets (or cost recovery), and financial position. All assets and liabilities (whether current or non-current) associated with its activities are reported. Government-wide fund equity is classified as net position.

Basis of Accounting

In the government-wide statement of net position and statement of activities, the governmental activities are presented using the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred or economic asset used. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets, and liabilities resulting from exchange and exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures (including capital outlay) generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting.

D. Assets, Liabilities, and Equity

Cash and interest-bearing deposits

For purposes of the statement of net position, cash and interest-bearing deposits includes all demand accounts, savings accounts, and certificates of deposits of City Court.

Under state law, City Court may deposit funds in demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, or time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana law or any other state of the United States, or under the laws of the United States.

Investments

Investments are limited by Louisiana Revised Statute (R.S.) 33:2955 and City Court's investment policy. If the original maturities of investments exceed 90 days, they are classified as investments; however, if the original maturities are 90 or fewer days, they are classified as cash equivalents.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

Short-term interfund receivables/payables

During the course of operations, numerous transactions occur between individual funds for goods provided or services rendered. These receivables and payables are classified as due from other funds or due to other funds on the balance sheet. Short-term interfund loans are classified as interfund receivables/payables.

Capital assets

Capital assets, which include property and equipment, are reported in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are capitalized at historical cost or estimated cost if historical cost is not available. Donated assets are recorded as capital assets at their estimated fair market value at the date of donation. City Court maintains a threshold level of \$500 for capitalizing assets.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized.

Depreciation of all exhaustible capital assets is recorded as an expense in the statement of activities, with accumulated depreciation reflected in the statement of net position. Since surplus assets are sold for an immaterial amount when declared as no longer needed for public purposes, no salvage value is taken into consideration for depreciation purposes. Depreciation is provided over the assets' estimated useful lives using the straight-line method of depreciation. The range of estimated useful lives by type of asset is as follows:

<u>Asset Class</u>	<u>Estimated Useful Lives</u>
Office Furniture	5 years
Equipment	5 years

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

Fund equity

In the government-wide financial statements, equity is classified as net position and displayed in three components:

- a. **Invested in capital assets, net of related debt** – Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- b. **Restricted net position** – Consists of net position with constraints placed on the use either by (1) external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments; or (2) law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- c. **Unrestricted net position** – All other net position that does not meet the definition of “restricted” or “invested in capital assets, net of related debt.”

Governmental fund equity is classified as fund balance. Fund balance for the City Court’s governmental fund is displayed depicting the relative strength of the spending constraints placed on the purposes for which resources can be used. In the governmental fund financial statements, fund balances are classified as follows:

- a. **Nonspendable** – amounts that cannot be spent either because they are in nonspendable form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.
- b. **Restricted** – amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other government.
- c. **Committed** – amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by a formal action of the Judge. The Judge is the highest level of decision-making authority for City Court. Commitments may be established, modified, or rescinded only through process verbal of the Judge.
- d. **Assigned** – amounts that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed but that are intended to be used for specific purposes. Under the City Court’s adopted policy, only the Judge may assign amounts for specific purposes.
- e. **Unassigned** – all other spendable amounts.

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, City Court considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, City Court considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the Judge have provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

E. Revenues, Expenditures, and Expenses

Revenues and fees

Revenues and fees, charges and commissions for services are recorded when City Court is entitled to the funds.

Interest on interest-bearing deposits is recorded or accrued as revenue when earned. Substantially all other revenues are recorded when received.

Expenditures/Expenses

In the government-wide financial statements, expenses are classified by function. In the fund financial statements, governmental fund expenditures are classified by function and character.

F. Employee Retirement Benefits

Employees of City Court are included on the City of Franklin's payroll and participate in the same retirement systems as the employees of that entity.

(2) Cash and Interest-Bearing Deposits

At June 30, 2013, the City Court has cash and cash equivalents (book balances) totaling \$144,881 as follows:

	Governmental Activities	Fiduciary Funds	Total
Cash on hand	\$ 50	\$ -	\$ 50
Demand deposits	37,288	107,543	144,831
Total	<u>\$ 37,338</u>	<u>\$ 107,543</u>	<u>\$ 144,881</u>

Under state law, deposits, (or the resulting bank balances) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or similar federal security or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of the pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent bank.

Custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, the City Court's deposits may not be recovered or City Court will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. As of June 30, 2013, the City Court's total bank balances were fully insured by federal deposit insurance and not subject to custodial credit risk.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

(3) Capital Assets

The following is a summary of the changes in capital assets for the year:

	Balance, July 1, 2012	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2013
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	\$ 52,699	\$ 3,297	\$ -	\$ 55,996
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	45,792	2,316	-	48,108
Net Capital Assets	<u>\$ 6,907</u>	<u>\$ 981</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 7,888</u>

Depreciation expense for the year ended June 30, 2013 was charged to the general government function.

(4) Change in Fiduciary Fund's Unsettled Deposits

The following is a summary of the changes in unsettled deposits for the year:

	Balance, July 1, 2012	Additions	Reductions	Balance June 30, 2013
Fiduciary Fund Type - Agency Funds				
Advance deposit	\$ 100,322	\$ 80,145	\$ 73,966	\$106,501
Fines and costs	26,961	192,682	187,800	31,843
Totals	<u>\$ 127,283</u>	<u>\$ 272,827</u>	<u>\$ 261,766</u>	<u>\$138,344</u>

(5) On-Behalf Payments for Salaries and Benefits

GASB Statement No. 24, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Grants and Other Financial Assistance*, requires City Court to report on-behalf payments made by the City of Franklin to City Court's employees for salaries and related benefits. The basis for recognizing the revenues and expenditure payments is that the actual contribution is made by the City of Franklin and not by City Court. For 2013, the City paid salaries and benefits to and for the Court Clerk, City Judge, Deputy Clerk, City Prosecutor, and secretary. On-behalf payments recorded as revenue and expenditures in the general fund financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2013 totaled \$88,355.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Financial Statements (continued)

(6) Expenditures of City Court Paid by the City of Franklin

The cost of some expenditures for the operation of City Court, as required by Louisiana Revised Statute 13:1889, is paid by the City of Franklin, Louisiana. Such expenditures are not included in the accompanying financial statements.

(7) Fund Balance

Fund balance is classified as nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and/or unassigned based primarily on the extent to which City Court is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources in the government funds. City Court has no constraints placed on fund balance for the major governmental fund, all of City Court's fund balance is classified as unassigned during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Budgetary Comparison Schedule
General Fund
Year Ended June 30, 2013

	<u>Final Budget</u>	<u>Actual (Non-GAAP Basis)</u>	<u>Variance Positive (Negative)</u>
Revenues:			
Fees, charges and commissions -			
Court costs, fees, and fines	\$ 66,900	\$ 61,652	\$ (5,248)
Interest income	700	55	(645)
Miscellaneous	<u>1,000</u>	<u>287</u>	<u>(713)</u>
Total revenues	<u>68,600</u>	<u>61,994</u>	<u>(6,606)</u>
Expenditures:			
Current-			
General government-			
Operating services	56,450	59,875	(3,425)
Professional services	2,700	2,900	(200)
Materials and supplies	1,000	8,022	(7,022)
Travel and other charges	5,000	4,164	836
Capital Outlay	<u>3,000</u>	<u>3,747</u>	<u>(747)</u>
Total expenditures	<u>68,150</u>	<u>78,708</u>	<u>(10,558)</u>
Net change in fund balance	450	(16,714)	(17,164)
Fund balance, beginning	<u>69,945</u>	<u>69,945</u>	<u>-</u>
Fund balance, ending	<u>\$ 70,395</u>	<u>\$ 53,231</u>	<u>\$ (17,164)</u>

See independent accountants' review report and notes to budgetary comparison schedule.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Budgetary Comparison Schedule

(1) Basis of Accounting

The budget is not adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Budgeted amounts are as originally adopted or as finally amended by City Court.

(2) Budget Adoption

City Court follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

1. A proposed budget is prepared and submitted to the City Court Judge for the fiscal year prior to the beginning of each fiscal year.
2. If proposed expenditures exceed \$500,000, a summary of the proposed budget is published and the public is notified that the proposed budget is available for public inspection. At the same time, a public hearing is called, if required.
3. If required, a public hearing is held on the proposed budget at least ten days after publication of the call for a hearing.
4. After the holding of the public hearing, if required, and completion of all action necessary to finalize and implement the budget, the budget is legally adopted prior to the commencement of the fiscal year for which the budget is being adopted.
5. All budgetary appropriations lapse at the end of each fiscal year.

(3) Non-GAAP Reporting Reconciliation

Budgetary amounts adopted by City Court do not include on-behalf payments made by the City of Franklin to City Court's employees for salaries and related benefits. These on-behalf payments are reflected as a revenue and as an expenditure in City Court's financial statements as required by GASB Statement No. 24, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Grants and Other Financial Assistance*. Actual amounts are reconciled on a non-GAAP basis for comparison to budget as follows:

	As Reported	Adjustment to Budgetary Basis	Non-GAAP Basis
Revenues:			
Intergovernmental	\$ 88,355	\$ (88,355)	\$ -
Expenditures:			
General government - Operating services	\$ 148,230	\$ (88,355)	\$ 59,875

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Notes to Budgetary Comparison Schedule (continued)

(4) Actual Expenditures in Excess of Budgeted Appropriations

Actual expenditures exceeded budgeted appropriations in the General Fund by \$17,164.

REQUIREMENTS OF THE *LOUISIANA GOVERNMENTAL AUDIT GUIDE*

KOLDER, CHAMPAGNE, SLAVEN & COMPANY, LLC

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

C. Burton Kolder, CPA*
Russell F. Champagne, CPA*
Victor R. Slaven, CPA*
Gerald A. Thibodeaux, Jr., CPA*
Robert S. Carter, CPA*
Arthur R. Nixon, CPA*
Penny Angelle Scruggins, CPA
Christine C. Doucet, CPA

Wanda F. Arcement, CPA, CVA
Allen J. LaBry, CPA
Albert R. Leger, CPA, PFS, CSA*
Marshall W. Guidry, CPA
Stephan R. Moore, Jr., CPA, PFS, CFP®, ChFC®
James R. Roy, CPA
Robert J. Metz, CPA
Alan M. Taylor, CPA
Kelly M. Doucet, CPA
Cheryl L. Bartley, CPA
Mandy B. Self, CPA
Paul L. Delcambre, Jr., CPA
Kristin B. Dautat, CPA
Matthew E. Margaglio, CPA
Jane R. Hebert, CPA
Bryan K. Joubert, CPA
Stephen J. Anderson, CPA
W. Jeffrey Lowry, CPA
Brad E. Kolder, CPA, JD
Casey L. Ardoin, CPA

Retired:
Conrad O. Chapman, CPA* 2008

OFFICES

183 South Beadle Rd.
Lafayette, LA 70508
Phone (337) 232-4141
Fax (337) 232-8660

450 East Main Street
New Iberia, LA 70560
Phone (337) 367-9204
Fax (337) 367-9208

113 East Bridge St.
Breaux Bridge, LA 70517
Phone (337) 332-4020
Fax (337) 332-2867

200 South Main Street
Abbeville, LA 70510
Phone (337) 893-7944
Fax (337) 893-7946

1234 David Dr. Ste 203
Morgan City, LA 70380
Phone (985) 384-2020
Fax (985) 384-3020

1013 Main Street
Franklin, LA 70538
Phone (337) 828-0272
Fax (337) 828-0290

408 West Cotton Street
Ville Platte, LA 70586
Phone (337) 363-2782
Fax (337) 363-3049

133 East Waddill St.
Marksville, LA 71351
Phone (318) 253-9252
Fax (318) 253-8881

332 West Sixth Avenue
Oberlin, LA 70655
Phone (337) 639-4737
Fax (337) 639-4568

1428 Metro Drive
Alexandria, LA 71301
Phone (318) 442-4421
Fax (318) 442-9833

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

WEB SITE
WWW.KCSRCPAS.COM

The Honorable Terry G. Breaux, Judge
City Court of the City of Franklin
Franklin, Louisiana

We have performed the procedures included in the *Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide* and enumerated below, which were agreed to by the City Court of the City of Franklin (hereinafter "City Court") and the Legislative Auditor, State of Louisiana, solely to assist the users in evaluating management's assertions about City Court's compliance with certain laws and regulations during the period ended June 30, 2013, included in the accompanying *Louisiana Attestation Questionnaire*. The management of City Court is responsible for its financial records and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was performed in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and applicable standards of *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Public Bid Law

1. Select all expenditures made during the year for material and supplies exceeding \$30,000, or public works exceeding \$150,000, and determine whether such purchases were made in accordance with LSA-RS 38:2211-2296 (the public bid law).

No expenditures were made during the year that exceeded \$30,000, nor were there any expenditures for public works made during the year that exceeded \$150,000.

Code of Ethics for Public Officials and Public Employees

2. Obtain a list of your immediate family members as defined by LSA-RS 42:1101-1124 (the code of ethics), and a list of outside business interests of you and all employees, as well as their immediate families.

Management provided us with the required list including the noted information.

3. Obtain from management a listing of all employees paid during the period under examination.

Management provided us with the required list.

Member of:
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

Member of:
SOCIETY OF LOUISIANA
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

4. Determine whether any of those employees included in the listing obtained from management were also included on the listing obtained from management as immediate family members.

None of the employees included on the list of employees provided by management [agreed-upon procedure (3)] appeared on the list provided by management in agreed-upon procedure (2).

Budgeting

5. Obtain a copy of the legally adopted budget and all amendments.

Management provided us with a copy of the original budget. No budgetary amendments were adopted.

6. Trace the budget adoption to adoption instruments.

Adoption of budget traced to executed process verbal.

7. Compare the revenues and expenditures of the final budget to actual revenues and expenditures to determine if actual revenues failed to meet budgeted revenues by five percent (5 %) or more or if actual expenditures exceed budgeted amounts by five percent (5%) or more.

We compared the budgeted revenues and expenditures to actual revenues and expenditures. Actual revenues, on a non-GAAP basis, failed to meet budgeted revenues by approximately 9.6% and actual expenditures, on a non-GAAP basis, exceeded budgeted amounts by approximately 15.4%.

Accounting and Reporting

8. Randomly select six (6) disbursements made during the period under examination and: (a) trace payments to supporting documentation as to proper amount and payee, (b) determine if payments were properly coded to the correct fund and general ledger account, and (c) determine whether payments received approval from proper authorities.

We examined supporting documentation for each of the six selected disbursements and found that payments were for the proper amounts, made to the correct payees, and properly coded to the correct funds and general ledger accounts.

Debt

9. Examine bank deposits for the period under examination and determine whether any such deposits appear to be proceeds of banks loans, bonds, or like indebtedness.

We inspected copies of all bank deposit slips for the period under examination and noted no deposits which appeared to be proceeds of bank loans, bonds, or like indebtedness.

Advances and Bonuses

1. Examine payroll records for the year to determine whether any payments have been made to employees, which may constitute bonuses, advances, or gifts.

We inspected payroll records for the year and noted no instances, which would indicate payments to employees that would constitute bonuses, advances, or gifts.

We were not engaged to, and did not perform an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on management's assertions. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of City Court and the Louisiana Legislative Auditor and is not intended to be and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purpose. Although the intended use of this report may be limited under Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document in accordance with Louisiana Revised Statute 44:6.

Kolder, Champagne, Slaven & Company, LLC
Certified Public Accountants

Morgan City, Louisiana
January 13, 2014

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Summary Schedule of Prior Findings
Year Ended June 30, 2013

A. Internal Control –

2012-1 – Segregation of Duties

CONDITION: Accounting and financial functions are not adequately segregated.

RECOMMENDATION: Due to the size of the operation and the cost-benefit of additional personnel, it may not be feasible to achieve complete segregation of duties.

CURRENT STATUS: See schedule of audit results and findings item 2013-1.

B. Compliance –

There were no findings previously reported under this section.

C. OMB A-133 –

This section was not applicable.

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana

Schedule of Findings
Year Ended June 30, 2013

Findings reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*:

2013-1 – Segregation of Duties

CONDITION: Accounting and financial functions are not adequately segregated.

CRITERIA: *Internal control* is a process – effected by those charged with governance, management, and other personnel – designed to provide reasonable assurance about the achievement of objectives with regard to reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. City Court's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that pertain to its ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions embodied in financial statements.

CAUSE: The cause of the conditions is the result of a failure to design and implement policies and procedures necessary to achieve adequate internal control.

EFFECT: Failure to adequately segregate accounting and financial functions increases the risk that errors and/or irregularities including fraud and/or defalcations may occur and not be prevented and/or detected.

RECOMMENDATION: Due to the size of the operation and the cost-benefit of additional personnel, it may not be feasible to achieve complete segregation of duties.

2013-2 – Local Government Budget Act

CONDITION: Negative budget variances in excess of 5% were incurred.

CRITERIA: LSA-RS 39:1311 et seq, Budgetary Authority and Control, provided for the following:

“A. The adopted budget and any duly authorized adopted amendments shall form the framework from which the chief executive or administrative officers and members of the governing authority of the political subdivision shall monitor revenues and control expenditures. The chief executive or administrative officer for a political subdivision subject to public participation shall advise the governing authority or independently elected official in writing when:

(1) Total revenue and other sources plus projected revenue and other sources for the remainder of the year, within a fund, are failing to meet total budgeted revenues and other sources by five percent or more.

(2) Total actual expenditures and other uses plus projected expenditures and other uses for the remainder of the year, within a fund, are exceeding the total budgeted expenditures and other uses by five percent or more.

(3) Actual beginning fund balance, within a fund, fails to meet estimated beginning fund balance by five percent or more and fund balance is being used to fund current year expenditures.”

**CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana**

**Schedule of Findings (continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2013**

CAUSE: The condition results from a failure to properly monitor the revenues and expenditures of the general fund.

EFFECT: City Court may not prevent and/or detect compliance violations due to over expenditure of the appropriated budget, and/or errors or irregularities on a timely basis.

RECOMMENDATION: We recommend that City Court adopt budgetary amendments as necessary to cause compliance with state statute.

**CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Franklin, Louisiana**

**Corrective Action Plan for Current Year Findings
Year Ended June 30, 2013**

2013-1 – Segregation of Duties

CONDITION: Accounting and financial functions are not adequately segregated.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE: Not applicable

2013-2 – Local Government Budget Act

CONDITION: Negative budget variances in excess of 5% were incurred.

MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSE: City Court did not have complete financial data available by year-end to amend its operating budget to ensure that actual revenues and expenditures were within the 5% legal variance. City Court will more closely monitor budgetary information and adopt the necessary amendments to cause compliance with state statute.

LOUISIANA ATTESTATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Exhibit A

CITY COURT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN
Louisiana Attestation Questionnaire
Year Ended June 30, 2013

Kolder, Champagne, Slaven & Company CPAs
P.O. Box 3438
Morgan City, LA 70381

In connection with your review of our financial statements as of [date] and for the year then ended, and as required by Louisiana Revised Statute 24:513 and the *Louisiana Governmental Audit Guide*, we make the following representations to you. We accept full responsibility for our compliance with the following laws and regulations and the internal controls over compliance with such laws and regulations. We have evaluated our compliance with the following laws and regulations prior to making these representations.

Public Bid Law

It is true that we have complied with the public bid law, R.S. Title 38:2211-2296, and, where applicable, the regulations of the Division of Administration and the State Purchasing Office.

Yes [☒] No []

Code of Ethics for Public Officials and Public Employees

It is true that no employees or officials have accepted anything of value, whether in the form of a service, loan, or promise, from anyone that would constitute a violation of R.S. 42:1101-1124.

Yes [☒] No []

It is true that no member of the immediate family of any member of the governing authority, or the chief executive of the governmental entity, has been employed by the governmental entity after April 1, 1980, under circumstances that would constitute a violation of R.S. 42:1119.

Yes [☒] No []

Budgeting

We have complied with the state budgeting requirements of the Local Government Budget Act (R.S. 39:1301-16), R.S. 39:33, or the budget requirements of R.S. 39:1331-1342, as applicable.

Yes [☒] No []

Accounting and Reporting

All non-exempt governmental records are available as a public record and have been retained for at least three years, as required by R.S. 44:1, 44:7, 44:31, and 44:36.

Yes [☒] No []

We have filed our annual financial statements in accordance with R.S. 24:514, and 33:463 where applicable.

Yes [☒] No []

We have had our financial statements reviewed in accordance with R.S. 24:513.

Yes [☒] No []

Debt

It is true we have not incurred any indebtedness, other than credit for 90 days or less to make purchases in the ordinary course of administration, nor have we entered into any lease-purchase agreements, without the approval of the State Bond Commission, as provided by Article VII, Section 8 of the 1974 Louisiana Constitution, Article VI, Section 33 of the 1974 Louisiana Constitution, and R.S. 39:1410.60-1410.65.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Advances and Bonuses

It is true we have not advanced wages or salaries to employees or paid bonuses in violation of Article VII, Section 14 of the 1974 Louisiana Constitution, R.S. 14:138, and AG opinion 79-729.

Yes ☒ No ☐

We have disclosed to you all known noncompliance of the foregoing laws and regulations, as well as any contradictions to the foregoing representations. We have made available to you documentation relating to the foregoing laws and regulations.

We have provided you with any communications from regulatory agencies or other sources concerning any possible noncompliance with the foregoing laws and regulations, including any communications received between the end of the period under examination and the issuance of this report. We acknowledge our responsibility to disclose to you any known noncompliance that may occur subsequent to the issuance of your report.


Honorable Terry Breaux

8/28/13
Date